1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JOHN S. CHA (SBN 129115) jcha@raineslaw.com RAINES FELDMAN LLP 1800 Avenue of the Stars, 12 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 440-4100 Facsimile: (424) 239-1613 MARK E. ELLIOTT (SBN 157759) mark.elliott@pillsburylaw.com PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406 Telephone: (213) 488-7100 Facsimile: (213) 629-1033 Attorneys for Plaintiffs TC Rich, LLC, Rifle Freight, Inc., Fleischer Customs Brokers, Richard G. Fleischer, and Jacqueline Fleischer UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	CENTRAL DISTRIC	Γ OF CALIFORNIA
15		
	TC RICH, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company, RIFLE FREIGHT,	Case No. CV 15-4878 DMG (AGRx)
17 18	INC., a California corporation, FLEISCHER CUSTOMS BROKERS, a	Assigned to the Hon. Dolly M. Gee
19	sole proprietorship, RICHARD G. FLEISCHER, an individual, and JACQUELINE FLEISCHER, an individual,	NINTH FURTHER JOINT
20	Plaintiffs,	QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT AS ORDERED BY THE COURT
21	v.	Action filed: June 26, 2015
22	PACIFICA CHEMICAL,	Discovery: Stayed Trial date: None set
23	INCORPORATED, a California corporation, AQUA SCIENCE ENGINEERS, INC., a California	
2425	Corporation, A/E WEST	
2526	CONSULTÁNTS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	
27	Defendants.	
28	Defendants.	

TC RICH v. Pacifica Chemical, et al. USDC Case No. CV 15-4878 DMG (AGRx)

1 The parties jointly submit this Ninth Further Joint Status Report. 2 The eight prior joint reports were filed on: 3 1. January 19, 2018 (Dkt. 91]; 2. October 19, 2018 [Dkt. 96]; 4 5 3. November 14, 2018 [Dkt. 98]; 4. February 7, 2019 [Dkt. 100]; 6 7 5. February 28, 2019 [Dkt. 103]; 8 6. May 14, 2019 [Dkt. 117]; 9 7. August 14, 2019 [Dkt. 118]; and 10 8. November 14, 2019 [Dkt. 119]. 11 This Ninth Further Joint Quarterly Status Report addresses the status of the site investigation of the environmental contamination and likely returning to 12 13 mediation to conduct a second session. 1. Site Investigation 14 Pacifica implemented an enhanced reductive dechlorination ("ERD") Pilot 15 Test in July 2019. The purpose of the ERD Pilot Test is to obtain information that 16 17 will assist in an appropriate full-scale groundwater remediation design, including evaluation of the effectiveness of ERD, which is to include bioaugmentation. From 18 19 July 8 to 26, 2019, and from August 12 to 23, 2019, Pacifica Chemical's environmental contractor ("Murex") performed pilot study injections of QRS-SL 20 21 Plus, a sodium lactate and emulsified vegetable oil product and amended with 22 sodium bicarbonate, followed by a Dehalococcoides (DHC) bacteria in the form of microbial consortium TSI-DC culture. 23 24 Following the injection, Murex has been collecting performance data from 25 wells within the study area (monitoring events), which also serves the dual purpose 26 of Waste Discharge Reporting (WDR) monitoring and reporting. Murex has 27 conducted two post-injection monitoring events and is currently conducting 28 quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling. VOC data in groundwater suggests 1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that significant reductive dichlorination may be occurring in one of the four wells within the pilot test area. Ongoing monitoring will continue to evaluate VOC trends and will be documented in quarterly groundwater monitoring and WDR reports. Murex will conduct another this month before reporting on the pilot test to DTSC in April 2020. 2. Mediation The parties continue to anticipate returning to mediation with Mr. Gallagher. Defendant contends that the most opportune time to return is after the pilot test report is submitted to DTSC in April 2020 to assist in better estimating future remedial costs. Plaintiffs agree that a reliable estimate of future costs is important but are concerned that the parties are otherwise in disagreement regarding realistic assessments of relative liability. Nonetheless, the parties continue to believe that areas of dispute and agreement were identified with Mr. Gallagher's assistance on February 22, 2019 and conclude that a further session after more is known about the extent of contamination and remedial options available to address it will better position the parties in their efforts to achieve a settlement. Dated: February 14, 2020 RAINES FELDMAN LLP /s/ John S. Cha John S. Cha Counsel for Plaintiffs Dated: February 14, 2020 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP /s/ Mark Elliot Mark Elliott Counsel for Plaintiffs

1	Datadi Fahmiami 14, 2020	PALADIN LAW GROUP® LLP
2	Dated: February 14, 2020	/s/ Bret A. Stone
3		/s/ blet A. Stolle
4		Bret A. Stone
5		Counsel for Defendants Pacifica Chemical, Incorporated
7	Dated: February 14, 2020	FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
8	•	
9		/s/ Sarah A. Slack
10		Sarah A. Slack
11		Counsel for Plaintiff-Intervenor
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	TC RICH v. Pacifica Chemical, et al.	3 NINTH FURTHER JOINT STATUS REPORT